

RESTORE+: Addressing Landscape Restoration on Degraded/Marginal Land in Indonesia and Brazil

Introduction



Supported by:

Federal Ministry for the Environment, Nature Conservation and Nuclear Safety

based on a decision of the German Bundestag

Importance of restoration

Bonn Challenge and Global Partnership on Forest Landscape Restoration

Restoring **150 million hectares** of deforested and degraded land by 2020, and additional **200 million hectares** by 2030.

As means to achieve the


- CBD Aichi Target 15
- UNFCCC REDD+ goal
- Rio+20 land degradation neutrality goal
- Sustainable Development Goal 15





Complexity of forest landscape restoration

- Ongoing process of regaining ecological functionality and enhancing human well-being
- Most restoration opportunities are found on or adjacent to agricultural or pastoral land. In these situations, restoration must complement and not displace existing land uses.
- Cross-sectoral, multi-stakeholders and crossjurisdiction
- Requires a **bottom-up approach** e.g. Restoration
 Opportunities Assessment Methodology
 (ROAM) by IUCN and WRI





WHAT TO RESTORE?

"Global estimates of total degraded area vary from less than 1 billion ha to over 6 billion ha, with equally wide disagreement in their spatial distribution." (Gibbs and Salmon, 2015)

UNEP Global Assessment of Soil Degradation (GLASOD): **1.2 billion ha** FAO's Global Assessment of Lands Degradation and Improvement project (GLADA): **2.7 billion ha** FAO Terrastat (Bot et al, 2000): **6 billion ha**

RESTORE+ DRIVING QUESTIONS

How do we assess large scale (i.e. national or regional) landscape restoration potential?

Are current targets realistically ambitious (together with the expected co/multiple benefits)?

How should we formulate **operational** restoration policies that ensure environmental integrity and social benefits?

RESTORE+

Quick facts

- Project duration 5 years (2017-2022)
- Type of activities Enhancement of methods, tools, datasets and institutional capacity
- Partner institutions
- Indonesia: Ministry of National Development Planning/BAPPENAS
- Brazil:

Brazilian Cooperation Agency (Foreign Office), Ministry for the Environment

• Funding support

Supported by:



based on a decision of the German Bundestag

• Project partners





RESTORE+

Approach



Identifying degraded land:

- Exploring possible definitions of degraded land including social and biophysical consideration
- Assess land degradation through analysis of high resolution (satellite) imagery
- Big earth observation data analysis
- Crowdsourcing and grass-root engagement



Assess implication of varying degradation definitions and restoration preferences:

- Vegetation modelling to project carbon stock, potential yield under different restoration measures etc.
- Biodiversity assessment (priority areas, species, biodiversity modelling)



Trade-off analysis and policy recommendations:


- Restoration assessment covering diverse range of restoration potential and measures
- Land use/cover projection scenarios based on spatially explicit bottom-up informed economic models
- Trade-off analysis between production and trade of food, fiber and energy commodities vis-à-vis climate change mitigation and biodiversity
- Scalable financing mechanism for restoration

Identifying degrade land


Activities in Brazil - Innovative approaches to analyze abundantly available data

Satellite image time series are assessed


with machinle learning approach to define Land Cover/Use maps (<u>SITS methodology</u>)



Legal reserve requirements are analyzed together with the Rural Environmental Cadaster/Registry (CAR) to define legal reserve deficits and assess potential areas for restoration









Identifying degrade land

Activities in Indonesia – Dealing with geographic challenges (1/2)

DISADVANTAGES:

haze/smoke

(e.g. degradation)

Land Cover/Use change analysis using available optical satellite image to define land cover classes




Radar based (SAR) remote sensing analysis to detect changes and better detect degradation

ADVANTAGES:

- Independent of cloud/smoke/haze and day-light conditions
- Possible to detect subtle changes (e.g. degradation).
- **Dense time-series**

DISADVANTAGES:

- Affected by ground/vegetation moisture conditions
- Difficult to interpret








other/mixed/unknown auses of change

ADVANTAGES:

- Multiple data sources
- Easy to interpret
- Long legacy of usage









Infrequent data, affected by cloud/

Difficult to detect subtle changes









Identifying degrade land


Activities in Indonesia – Dealing with geographic challenges (2/2)

Remote sensing analysis require training data and field data collection

> Validation of Land Cover Maps (training samples)

Citizen-empowered Scientific Assessment participation of multiple stakeholders in identifying degraded land and restoration option

Collecting high quality field data while strengthening the knowledge of local stakeholders on restoration





💥 urundata








Environmental externalities CO2,N-emissions, N,P, C leaching & erosion, water

esn.

Biophysical modelling

Biophysical vegetation modelling (Environmental Policy Integrated Climate - EPIC)

- Complex agro-ecosystem model developed by USDA (*Williams et al. 1996*)
- Process-based model operating on daily basis using data on weather, site, soil, and crop management
 - 20 crops (>75% of harvested area)
 - 4 management systems (High input, Low input, Irrigated, Subsistence)
 - Crop yield intensification scenarios (BAU, Intensification, Improved crop cultivars)



Production: Embedded C,N,P; Emb. energy




Biophysical modelling

Modelling forestry dynamics (G4M & BGC-MAN)



BGC-MAN simulations for plots in every ecoregion are used in **G4M** for spatial modeling at 10 km spatial resolution for Indonesia





Calibration


F


Biophysical modelling

Biophysical tree crop productivity modelling - WaNuLCAS



Developed to represent **tree-crop/tree-tree interactions in** a wide range of agroforestry systems where trees and crops overlap in space and/or time (simultaneous and sequential agroforestry). Spatial scale: **plot** (represents a four-layer soil profile, with four spatial zones. Time scale: **daily**







Biophysical modelling - example


Climate Change impact assessment in Brazil





Displacement of the main producing regions Main producing areas (shades) and changes in soybean, corn, and grassland projected by GGCMs (EPIC and LPJmL) considering RCP8.5 emission scenario








Multicriteria trade-off analysis

Economic land use decisions model (GLOBIOM)



MODEL OUTPUTS




- Global coverage, 57 world regions
- Bilateral trade flows
 - Spatial equilibrium approach


• Bottom-up approach

- Detailed spatial resolution (>200k cells)
- Explicit description of production technologies a la Leontief
- Technologies specified by production system and grid cell (process-based models)
- Land use and land use change
 - 6 different land use types
- Linear programming approach
 - Maximization of consumer and producer surplus
 - Optimization constraints
- Base year: 2000. Time step: 5 or 10 years. Time horizon: 2050/2100

Model integration for Indonesia

Land use planning tool for sustainable landscapes (LUMENS)










Model integration for Indonesia

Allowing high resolution results for further analysis






Policy scenarios assessment

Forest code enforcement – Results example for Brazil

- Illegal deforestation control
- Zero deforestation agreements +
- Environmental reserve quotas
- Forest restoration
 - 12 million hectares
- Intensification versus extensification ← Plano ABC
- Increase in biofuels use

Projected evolution of the Cropland area, Pasture area, and Net Emissions per year from the LUCF sector (2015 to 2050) according to the various scenarios.

d₇₀




Code

Soy noratorium

PLANAVEG


RENOVABIO




3

Different level of compliance with the Forest Code.

Gradient of governance (a) and restoration targets (b) of the different scenarios.






Scenarios definition




Restoration and Biodiversity

Assessment of restoration impacts on biodiversity




Connectivity modelling for South Sumatra





Scalable financing mechanisms for restoration






Restoration cost analysis

	Restoration method										
	Total Planting Seedlings		Assisted Natural Regeneration		Natural Regeneration	Total Planting Seeds		Dens and Enrich with Seedlings		Dens and Enrich with Seeds	
Favorable Environmental Condition	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Total cost (\$ per hectare)	2,116	4,981	468	679	51	643	2,596	909	1,975	319	1,066

Financial strategies for restoration





RESTORE+: Addressing Landscape Restoration on Degraded/Marginal Land in Indonesia and Brazil

Introduction



Supported by:

Federal Ministry for the Environment, Nature Conservation and Nuclear Safety

based on a decision of the German Bundestag